

FILED

JUL 31 2013

State Grand Jury Judge

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY
LAW DIVISION - CRIMINAL

State Grand Jury

Number SGJ638-13-10

Superior Court

Docket Number 13-07-00133-S

STATE OF NEW JERSEY)

v.)

INDICTMENT

DAVID SCOTT RUDDY)

The Grand Jurors of and for the State of New Jersey, upon their oaths, present that:

COUNT ONE

(Theft by Deception - Third Degree)

DAVID SCOTT RUDDY

between in or about September 2012, and in or about January 2013 at the Township of Metuchen, in the County of Middlesex, at the Township of Fords, in the County of Middlesex, elsewhere, and within the jurisdiction of this Court, purposely did obtain the property of another by deception, in an amount more than \$500 but less than \$75,000, by creating or reinforcing false impressions to W.H., M.A., or F.A. that he owned and had the authority to enter into a contract for sale of the property located at 76 E. William Street in Fords, New Jersey 08861,

WHEREAS IN TRUTH AND IN FACT, as the said DAVID SCOTT RUDDY

well knew, he had no authority to sell the aforesaid property, thereby causing losses to W.H., M.A., or F.A. in amounts more than \$500 but less than \$75,000, contrary to the provisions of N.J.S.A. 2C:20-4, and against the peace of this State, the government and dignity of the same.

COUNT TWO

(Theft by Deception - Third Degree)

DAVID SCOTT RUDDY

on or about November 25, 2013, at the Township of Woodbridge, in the County of Middlesex, elsewhere, and within the jurisdiction of this Court, purposely did obtain the property of another by deception, in an amount more than \$500 but less than \$75,000, by creating or reinforcing the false impression to H.A. that he had authority to rent an apartment located at 20 Claire Avenue in Woodbridge, New Jersey,

WHEREAS IN TRUTH AND IN FACT, as the said DAVID SCOTT RUDDY well knew, that he had no authority to rent the aforesaid property, thereby causing a loss to H.A. in an amount more than \$500 but less than \$75,000, contrary to the provisions of N.J.S.A. 2C:20-4, and against the peace of this State, the government and dignity of the same.

COUNT THREE

(Theft by Deception - Third Degree)

DAVID SCOTT RUDDY

between, on or about December 1, 2012, and on or about January 31, 2013, at the Township of Woodbridge, in the County of Middlesex, elsewhere, and within the jurisdiction of this Court, purposely did obtain the property of another by deception, in an amount more than \$500 but less than \$75,000, by creating or reinforcing the false impression to V.C. that he had authority to rent an apartment located on Whitehead Avenue in South River, New Jersey,

WHEREAS IN TRUTH AND IN FACT, as the said DAVID SCOTT RUDDY well knew, that he had no authority to rent the aforesaid property, thereby causing a loss to V.C. in an amount more than \$500 but less than \$75,000, contrary to the provisions of N.J.S.A. 2C:20-4, and against the peace of this State, the government and dignity of the same.

COUNT FOUR

(Theft by Deception - Third Degree)

DAVID SCOTT RUDDY

between, on or about December 3, 2012, and on or about December 31, 2012, at the Township of Metuchen, in the County of Middlesex, in the Township of Edison, in the County of Middlesex, elsewhere, and within the jurisdiction of this Court, purposely did obtain the property of another by deception, in an amount more than \$500 but less than \$75,000, by creating or reinforcing the false impression to K.H. that he had authority to rent an apartment located at 35 Lincoln Avenue in Metuchen, New Jersey,

WHEREAS IN TRUTH AND IN FACT, as the said DAVID SCOTT RUDDY well knew, that he had no authority to rent the aforesaid property, thereby causing a loss to K.H. in an amount more than \$500 but less than \$75,000, contrary to the provisions of N.J.S.A. 2C:20-4, and against the peace of this State, the government and dignity of the same.

COUNT FIVE

(Theft by Deception - Third Degree)

DAVID SCOTT RUDDY

between, on or about December 13, 2012, and on or about January 18, 2013, at the Township of Woodbridge, in the County of Middlesex, elsewhere, and within the jurisdiction of this Court, purposely did obtain the property of another by deception, in an amount more than \$500 but less than \$75,000, by creating or reinforcing the false impression to C.W. or A.W. that he owned and was willing to sell to C.W. or A.W. a 2009 Honda Civic,

WHEREAS IN TRUTH AND IN FACT, as the said DAVID SCOTT RUDDY well knew, he never owned and intended to sell to C.W. or A.W. a 2009 Honda Civic, thereby causing a loss to C.W. or A.W. in an amount more than \$500 but less than \$75,000, contrary to the provisions of N.J.S.A. 2C:20-4, and against the peace of this State, the government and dignity of the same.

COUNT SIX

(Theft by Deception - Third Degree)

DAVID SCOTT RUDDY

on or about December 21, 2012, at the Township of Woodbridge, in the County of Middlesex, elsewhere, and within the jurisdiction of this Court, purposely did obtain the property of another by deception, in an amount more than \$500 but less than \$75,000, by creating or reinforcing the false impression to R.P. that he had authority to sell a condominium located in Toms River, New Jersey,

WHEREAS IN TRUTH AND IN FACT, as the said DAVID SCOTT RUDDY well knew, that he had no authority to enter into a contract or lease agreement for the sale of the aforesaid property, thereby causing a loss to R.P. in an amount more than \$500 but less than \$75,000, contrary to the provisions of N.J.S.A. 2C:20-4, and against the peace of this State, the government and dignity of the same.

COUNT SEVEN

(Theft by Deception - Third Degree)

DAVID SCOTT RUDDY

between, on or about December 22, 2012, and on or about January 26, 2013, at the Township of Woodbridge, in the County of Middlesex, at the Township of South River, in the County of Middlesex, elsewhere, and within the jurisdiction of this Court, purposely did obtain the property of another by deception, in an amount more than \$500 but less than \$75,000, by creating or reinforcing the false impression to J.A.A. that he owned and intended to sell to J.A.A. a 2009 Honda Civic,

WHEREAS IN TRUTH AND IN FACT, as the said DAVID SCOTT RUDDY well knew, that he did not own or intend to sell the aforesaid 2009 Honda Civic to J.A.A., thereby causing a loss to J.A.A. in an amount more than \$500 but less than \$75,000, contrary to the provisions of N.J.S.A. 2C:20-4, and against the peace of this State, the government and dignity of the same.

COUNT EIGHT

(Theft by Deception - Third Degree)

DAVID SCOTT RUDDY

between, on or about December 28, 2012, and on or about January 17, 2013, at the Township of Woodbridge, in the County of Middlesex, elsewhere, and within the jurisdiction of this Court, purposely did obtain the property of another by deception, in an amount more than \$500 but less than \$75,000, by creating or reinforcing the false impression to M.O. that he had the authority to sell to M.O. a 2010 Chevy Impala LT,

WHEREAS IN TRUTH AND IN FACT, as the said DAVID SCOTT RUDDY well knew, he had no authority to sell to M.O. a 2010 Chevy Impala LT, thereby causing a loss to M.O. in an amount more than \$500 but less than \$75,000, contrary to the provisions of N.J.S.A. 2C:20-4, and against the peace of this State, the government and dignity of the same.

COUNT NINE

(Theft by Deception - Third Degree)

DAVID SCOTT RUDDY

on or about December 29, 2012, at the Township of Woodbridge, in the County of Middlesex, at the Township of Edison, in the County of Middlesex, elsewhere, and within the jurisdiction of this Court, purposely did obtain the property of another by deception, in an amount more than \$500 but less than \$75,000, by creating or reinforcing the false impression to E.C. that he had authority to rent an apartment located on Ginger Drive in Edison, New Jersey,

WHEREAS IN TRUTH AND IN FACT, as the said DAVID SCOTT RUDDY well knew, he did not have authority to rent the aforesaid property, thereby causing a loss to E.C. in an amount more than \$500 but less than \$75,000, contrary to the provisions of N.J.S.A. 2C:20-4, and against the peace of this State, the government and dignity of the same.


COUNT TEN

(Theft by Deception - Third Degree)

DAVID SCOTT RUDDY

between on or about January 1, 2013, and on or about January 24, 2013, at the Township of Woodbridge, in the County of Middlesex, elsewhere, and within the jurisdiction of this Court, purposely did obtain the property of another by deception, in an amount more than \$500 but less than \$75,000, by creating or reinforcing the false impression to K.D. that he had purchased furniture for K.D.,

WHEREAS IN TRUTH AND IN FACT, as the said DAVID SCOTT RUDDY well knew, he had canceled the furniture order, thereby causing a loss to K.D. in an amount more than \$500 but less than \$75,000, contrary to the provisions of N.J.S.A. 2C:20-4, and against the peace of this State, the government and dignity of the same.



Elie Honig
Director
Division of Criminal Justice

A TRUE BILL:



Deputy Foreperson

Dated: 7/31/13

FILED

JUL 31 2013

State Grand Jury Judge

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY
LAW DIVISION - CRIMINAL

State Grand Jury

Number SGJ638-13-10

Superior Court

Docket Number 13-07-00133-S

STATE OF NEW JERSEY)

v.)

DAVID SCOTT RUDDY)

ORDER OF VENUE

An Indictment having been returned to this Court by the State Grand Jury in the above captioned matter,

IT IS ORDERED on this *31st* day of *July*, 2013, pursuant to paragraph 8 of the State Grand Jury Act, that the County of Middlesex be and hereby is designated as the County of venue for the purpose of trial.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Superior Court shall transmit forthwith the Indictment in this matter and a certified copy of this Order to the Criminal Division Manager of the County of Middlesex for filing.

Mary C. Jacobson, NJSC
Mary C. Jacobson, A.J.S.C.